27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	LINUTED STATES DISTRICT COLUDT
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	EDEDDY II MADTINEZ
8	FREDDY U. MARTINEZ, No. C-13-04197 DMR
9	Plaintiff(s), AMENDED ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
10	v. SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL
11	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
12	Defendant(s).
13	
14	Plaintiff's motion for substitution of counsel is granted. Xavier Baeza is Plaintiff's lawyer.
15	Gino Molinari is no longer Plaintiff's lawyer. Up to the point of this substitution, defense counsel
16	appropriately has contacted both Mr. Baeza and Mr. Molinari as Plaintiff's counsel in this case. Mr
17	Baeza and Mr. Molinari created confusion about which one of them represents Plaintiff, but that
18	confusion has now been resolved. From now on, defense counsel need only contact Mr. Baeza as
_	Plaintiff's counsel in this case.
19	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	
22	Dated: April 25, 2014
23	mm
24	
25	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
26	Cinica States Magistrate Juage